Subject: pulling in and out again...
Posted by Muad Dib15 on Sat, 01 Jan 2011 05:29:17 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Imo: this whole debate is somewhat moot. Gays have been allowed to openly serve in the military whether some people like it or not. Personally I would have preferred DADT, with the clause inserted that if someone is 3rd person outed they shouldn't have been kicked out, as it should have been quite effective at getting rid of the the Gay community complaints\* about wanting to be able to serve in the military and not have to lie about being straight in order to do so. I have absolutely 0 problems with that, everyone should be able to serve our country in the military if they qualify physically and mentally.

However, DADT advocates are now being called bigots and homophobes for defending DADT. Yet before, they would have been called a bigot or homophobe for not wanting gays in the military at all\*. Funny how when the right side of the political spectrum decides to compromise, which may not have been the case then it is now though, the left seems to want to continue pushing their agenda under the guise of equal rights. It's not the fight for equal rights, it is elevating a minority over the majority. Now because of this, homosexuals that have been passed up for a promotion, demoted, dishonorably discharged, left on the field injured for whatever reason, etc. can now claim that is was because they were gay that what happened to them happened. I know most of them will not do that, but there are some who will.

And on this note, let me also state that I'm for civil unions or something along those lines replacing marriage in the state's eyes and allowing religion to keep their version of marriage. If this makes me a bigot for not believing in gay marriage, you've got a huge problem. Tl:dr(not really)==> Churches can call marriages marriages. They'll have their right to. But in the eyes of the law, straight/gay/etc should be called a civil union, or mmarriage, or commonlaw, or anything else other than marriage. The religious connotation stays for the churches that wish to continue that tradition, and in the eyes of the law, everybody is equal and nothing is separate. And if you have churches that allow marriages of homosexual couples, then great, the homosexual couples can be a part of -those churches-.

Also on a slightly humorous note: Think of the pinups girls on the walls. Do you really think that gays aren't going to participate in that longstanding army tradition? They will put guy pinups which in all honesty no straight guy wants to see. Which means that someone will tear it down, the guy who had it will get butt hurt over it and as a result pinup girl and guy posters are banned. no one is happy.

\*best wording I can come up with atm