
Subject: Re: ATI

Posted by [a000clown](#) on Tue, 16 Nov 2010 17:37:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

(SSnipe) -BLU3Y3Z- wrote on Tue, 16 November 2010 02:26a000clown wrote on Mon, 15 November 2010 22:20Personally I'd save myself the money and go with the cheaper card of comparable performance. I don't think 5 to 10 extra FPS is worth the \$50-150 (depending on card choices).

well what do u recommend? =]

I was just commenting on the GeForce 460 vs 465 vs 470 discussion, in which case I'd go for the 460 between the three of them.

Then, comparing to the AMD/ATI side, the Radeon HD 6850 wins most benchmarks (vs GeForce 640 1GB) while also coming out cheaper.

Keep in mind the benchmarks I'm referring to compare the reference cards and partner designs (from EVGA, ASUS, XFX, Sapphire, MSI, Gigabyte, etc.) may have very different results.

I can't decide for you. Just figure out the min FPS you want at the resolution you play at, then pick a card that gives you that.

All I meant before was that you'll always be saying, "it's only an extra x dollars so why not?" as you bump up to the next card, and the next, and the next, until you hit the most expensive card and realize you just jumped a couple hundred bucks from where you started
