

---

Subject: Re: Should we ban the burqa?

Posted by [snpr1101](#) on Sat, 12 Jun 2010 12:57:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

EvilWhiteDragon wrote on Sat, 12 June 2010 07:47snpr1101 wrote on Sat, 12 June 2010 14:35GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sat, 12 June 2010 06:59reborn wrote on Sat, 12 June 2010 04:15Banning the Burqa would help hinder acts of freedom fighting/terrorism.  
no, no it would not

It's only an immature method of fighting a problem, when there are so many other alternatives.

Said alternatives won't be heard of because it involves the removal of the ability to use religion as a form of propaganda as it is... but then, if they did that, they wouldn't be able to do so with christianity like they'd been doing for years (unless they want to be seen for being the obvious hypocrites they are).

Really, banning the burqa is the equivalent of taking someone's hat during an argument and then going "HAHA I WIN, I HAVE YOUR HAT". It's immature, it's retarded, and it gets nothing done except make the other person beat the fuck out of you.

Yes, politicians across Europe in their immaturity have traveled to their individual parliaments on tricycles and have sat down and accepted the banning of the burqa whilst sucking on lollipops and asking mommy for ice cream afterwards before being dropped off to kindergarten.

It's not "immature"; it's not "retarded" and it does get something done.

Edit: GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Sat, 12 June 2010 06:59Really, banning the burqa is the equivalent of taking someone's hat during an argument and then going "HAHA I WIN, I HAVE YOUR HAT".

So much fail

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TIkxlzTZc48>  
FAIL.

European ministers have not done that. They have proposed forbidding any face covering clothes, for the valid reason that having the face visible is needed for identification (safety) and normal communication (integration in some cases as well). That is different to banning just burqa's/niquaabs, which would be retarded as George zimmmmer already explained.

What is fail however is that the Australian politicians have decided to censor the internet, to protect against terrorism and childporn. Even more fail is that some dentist website ended on the list, thus not being reachable any more. So tell me, how can you say anything about Europe? You don't live here and your information flow is obviously compromised.

Excuse me sir; may I offer to you the definition of sarcasm.

"A form of humor that is marked by mocking with irony, sometimes conveyed in speech with vocal over-emphasis. Insincerely saying something which is the opposite of one's intended meaning, often to emphasize how unbelievable or unlikely it sounds if taken literally, thereby illustrating the obvious nature of one's intended meaning."

I understand that English may not be your first language; which may be a reason for your mis-interpretation of what I said.

If you read what I said again; and what George said; you may find that I disagree with him.