
Subject: Re: Catholic adoption agencies and homosexuality

Posted by [Altzan](#) on Sat, 17 Apr 2010 01:03:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 April 2010 07:44Altzan wrote on Tue, 13 April 2010 23:21Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13then the "so this part doesn't apply now because he was talking to the israelites" point is nonsense.

How does that relate to what I said at all?

you said that these particular verses only apply to the israelites, because god's talking to this group whom he gave land to. it's only the people to whom god gave such a large gift who need to pay attention.

well, if he gave all of us life, that would seem to qualify as well.

"it's only the people to whom god gave such a large gift who need to pay attention."

That's not it at all. It had to do with their situation, not their material wealth or reward.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 April 2010 07:44well, there you go. the punishment for any "sin" is the same as another. for my honest criticism of christianity, i'm in for the same punishment as any mass murderer you care to name.

that's justice, is it?

He created it all, and he set the standards. There is punishment for those who don't follow.

If there was a sign warning people not to stray near thin ice, it wouldn't matter who walked over it: a punk who wants to show off, a man who thinks it's safe enough, a person who doesn't think that the ice will break if he's careful - if they walk that ice, it will break.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 April 2010 07:44Quote:Deu 23:2 A bastard shall not enter into the congregation of the LORD; even to his tenth generation shall he not enter into the congregation of the LORD.

From what I found as I researched this, there are disputes as to the original meaning of the phrase, 'enter into the congregation of the LORD'.

then there's another critique of the incompetence of the revelation.

It's a small detail. It's obvious that the phrase has to do with the culture in some way, it doesn't mean that bastards aren't going to heaven.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 April 2010 07:44Quote:Fossils created? No, the living beings they used to be...

If life slowly spread over Earth and not just suddenly appeared, there wouldn't be fossils just mass-appearing in groups. They'd be showing up in small but increasing numbers.

i've never heard anybody suggest that the population (in animal terms) of the earth at 4000 BC was very small.

Isn't that the point?

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 April 2010 07:44Quote:Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13so it's the same as the mafia protection, then. we're being "saved" by the guy who put us in the danger in the first place.

We're always 'in the danger' as it is from the start, then, because there are no other options other than accept or refuse...

...and who put us into that situation?

I already answered that.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 April 2010 07:44Quote:in this case, you're blaming him for not 'saving' people from punishment who've done nothing in return.

'saving' seems to be a euphemism for 'deciding not to inflict horrific punishment after all'

If you don't do 'A', then 'B' will occur. If you do, 'C' will occur.

Why blame the system for allowing 'B'? Why should the system care if you don't think it's proper?

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 April 2010 07:44Quote:Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13the second question was simply to remind you that god is not telling us about the punishment. men wrote the bible.

Well, as I have already stated, I don't believe that.

you already acknowledged that the bible was written by men. and who's spreading the bible around? who's spreading the word of god? men. it's no different to islam.

I acknowledged it was written by men, but not that man was its author.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 April 2010 07:44i hear all the time that god is intervening in world affairs... every time a natural disaster happens, for example. there's no "christians in my area" about this; we get it from christians all over the world all the time. you're the first christian i've ever heard suggest that god does not interfere in current events.

That's really strange. Practically nobody around here suggests that.

That Baptist Group I mentioned was the first I've heard in awhile.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 April 2010 07:44half of the people i've asked this said evidence is not applicable

Depends on the evidence... some aspects of historical data can be, but some things in the past have virtually no trace remaining in today's time.

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 April 2010 07:44the other half tried to prove it and failed.

That's the problem, it can't be proven... otherwise, virtually everyone would be Christians. Even God knew that wasn't going to happen. (Follow the strait and narrow path, there will be few who walk it.)

Spoony wrote on Wed, 14 April 2010 07:44Quote:Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13if you're making no distinction as to the actual likelihood of the claim, i'm not sure on what grounds you say one god is real and all the others aren't.

My grounds is my belief, I'm not trying to declare it as absolute fact.

you don't really believe it, then?

I just said I did...
But to clarify, what is 'it'?
