

---

Subject: Re: Catholic adoption agencies and homosexuality

Posted by [Altzan](#) on Wed, 14 Apr 2010 04:21:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13Altzan wrote on Sun, 11 April 2010 23:12Spoony wrote on Sat, 10 April 2010 00:29do you think you have god to thank for your life, for example? Well, since I believe that God created life, then yes, I believe I wouldn't be alive without him. then the "so this part doesn't apply now because he was talking to the israelites" point is nonsense.

How does that relate to what I said at all?

Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13does it follow that one particular crime will have the same punishment as a less heinous one? quite possibly, if it's everlasting.

Very possibly.

I don't see any passage hinting at a person going from one to the other after they've arrived (remember the gulf).

Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13Quote:Spoony wrote on Sat, 10 April 2010 00:29plus the other example i mentioned (i.e. children can't enter the kingdom of god if their parents were not married. it's hardly the kid's fault, is it?).

I've never heard this one to be honest. Is it a Biblical verse?

yeah... it's something like "bastard children can't enter the presence of the lord for the next ten generations" or something.

Deu 23:2 A bastard shall not enter into the congregation of the LORD; even to his tenth generation shall he not enter into the congregation of the LORD.

From what I found as I researched this, there are disputes as to the original meaning of the phrase, 'enter into rthe congregation of the LORD'.

Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13Quote:Spoony wrote on Sat, 10 April 2010

00:29Quote:Well, what about the rock layers where literally thousands of fossils are all in the same area, as if they had all died at once, or created at once?

Exactly... what about them? If you're trying to vindicate noah's flood, that wouldn't vindicate the rest of genesis... creation is what i was asking about.

Read the bold...

you're suggesting the fossils were created, and that vindicates genesis?

Fossils created? No, the living beings they used to be...

If life slowly spread over Earth and not just suddenly appeared, there wouldn't be fossils just mass-appearing in groups. They'd be showing up in small but increasing numbers.

Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13Quote:Spoony wrote on Sat, 10 April 2010

00:29Quote:Or,

"When the carbon-14 dating method is "correctly" calibrated, and 25-thousand radiocarbon dates are graphed, the result shows evidence of a great peak of deaths about 4-thousand years ago."

even more vague

I don't see how this is vague.

Although it concerns the flood and not creation.

how does this even prove the flood is true? there was a catastrophic event and lots of animals died? that's happened quite a few times.

On this scale?

There were other events that killed off nearly the entire planet?

Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13so it's the same as the mafia protection, then. we're being "saved" by the guy who put us in the danger in the first place.

We're always 'in the danger' as it is from the start, then, because there are no other options other than accept or refuse... in this case, you're blaming him for not 'saving' people from punishment who've done nothing in return.

Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13the second question was simply to remind you that god is not telling us about the punishment. men wrote the bible.

Well, as I have already stated, I don't believe that.

Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13Quote:When Jesus established his church and ascended to Heaven, God's direct influence ceased and won't return until the second coming. First time I've heard this from a Christian...

I'm surprised...

What do the Catholics/Christians in your area think, then?

Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13wow, lol... so if i say unicorns are real, you'd have to accept there is a 50% probability that they actually are?

At base, yes. Then you look at the evidence and proof.

And yes, I get the reference, hehe.

Spoony wrote on Mon, 12 April 2010 10:13if you're making no distinction as to the actual likelihood of the claim, i'm not sure on what grounds you say one god is real and all the others aren't.

My grounds is my belief, I'm not trying to declare it as absolute fact.

---