

---

Subject: Re: Serves him right.

Posted by [StealthEye](#) on Tue, 23 Mar 2010 23:33:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 23 March 2010 18:55 That's a misapplication.

They don't make their money from playing the game itself. They make their money from the sale, distribution, and support of the game. Their main focus may be the game, but it isn't in the sense of leisure. They may or may not be gamers themselves that support the game, and in that lies the difference. The company that supplies the game and is compelled to uphold its enjoyment is required to stop cheaters from harming the game. That is a requirement for their job, not a self-appointed position of authority.

What I was talking about were people who simply play the game and take it upon themselves to war against cheaters of their own free will and effort.

I agree with you, however, that, whether or not it's about a leisurely activity, resorting to violence is still wrong.

I do not think it's a misapplication, it just depends on what you consider to be a 'leisure activity'. I do not think gamers who take games so seriously would describe themselves as simply players and playing their games to be a leisure activity: it is not just a game to them, for whatever reason. You can argue that they should not attach much value to it, but imo that is entirely up to them. As long as they do not become violent I see nothing wrong with that. I do agree that they should not appoint themselves a position of authority they do not have though, but I am not sure that that is the case here.

I think we basically agree though, we maybe only disagree on wording.

---