
Subject: Re: Catholic adoption agencies and homosexuality

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 17 Mar 2010 21:05:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

R315r4z0r wrote on Wed, 17 March 2010 14:30 While there is really no existing argument that can soundly argue why homosexuality is wrong, there is more grounds to want to argue against having a child adopted into a homosexual family.

That's not to say that it's necessarily wrong to do that either. What I'm getting at is that it is obvious that, regardless of the lack of logical reasoning, there are many people in the world who hold homosexuals in contempt. People will go to extremes to express their beliefs and fight to the ends of the earth for a cause they don't fully understand themselves.

Therefore, it is a likely scenario that if you allow a child to be adopted into a homosexual family, there is a higher chance of risk or harm for the child. It really doesn't matter how capable the would-be parents are.

Again, it's not wrong to be homosexual nor is it right to deny a homosexual couple to adopt a kid. But it is something that should be considered appropriately. well, if this is the best argument against it... i.e. that there is a lot of anti-homosexual prejudice, then this has two implications.

firstly this seems to entail that we should also "consider appropriately" every other group who has suffered comparable prejudice. judaism springs to mind, as well as various christian denominations, as well as atheists.

secondly, why has there been two thousand years of homophobic feeling even in the civilised world? i'll tell you: two thousand years of christianity. christianity's iron grip on society, especially education, has flooded the western world with propaganda against homosexuality, even though it utterly fails when the subject is actually debated. so the problem is, again, the fact that christianity and catholicism has enormous control over children, specifically education. why is this the case in the supposedly civilised world? this is what needs to be changed.

Quote:Children in the care of any catholic people are seriously in danger to be honest. I don't care if I'm stereotyping but with how many children get abused by catholic priests and such. Also with religious brainwashing etc...

There is no need to "stereotype" when we talk about sex offence against children by Catholic priests. And I request that you don't call it child "abuse". That's frankly a bit of a wishy-washy euphemism. Call it what it is: child rape. But I digress. No need to stereotype, because like I said, the Catholic Church has an official policy of protecting child rapists from the law, and threatens its employees with hell, the worst punishment it can think of, if they do something to break this policy, such as co-operate with a police investigation.

Need an illustration of how this policy works out? I give you Cardinal Bernard Law. He was the Arch-bishop of Boston, Massachusetts (forgive me if i'm spelling that wrong) for a couple of decades. It was conclusively proven that he was extensively involved with covering up sex offences carried out by priests/bishops under him, against thousands of children. Even after this all came out, he didn't resign and wasn't fired or even pressured to resign by the Pope. Eventually

his resignation was demanded by virtually the entirety of the state, including many catholics. (ponder for yourself how many of these were genuinely outraged at these crimes, and how many simply decided it couldn't be covered up any more)
So he eventually did step down, and went to the Vatican, and was promoted, personally by the Pope. Given a whole list of new titles. I can't recite them and I wouldn't know what the hell most of them mean anyway, but one of his privileges was the fact that he was (i think he still is, actually) part of the council who elects the new pope when the old one dies.

That's right. This criminal, this fugitive from justice who actively participated in helping to cover up the rape (like i said, please don't call it abuse, call it rape) of thousands of children by his own staff, was promoted by the pope and was given a vote on who the next pope should be.

So, like I said, when you talk about the Catholic Church and sex offences against children, stereotyping is not required.
