

---

Subject: Re: THAT'S RACIST!

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Tue, 16 Mar 2010 15:05:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29It's pretty sad that you spent so much time on such an empty post.

and already you're incapable of making a post without looking like a prick, good job

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29You're making a shock thread

Wasn't a "shock thread"

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29about how comparing Michelle Obama visually to a monkey is "political humor" instead of the obvious choice, racism, because George Bush was in a similar situation.

See, that's what I'm talking about. Why is it the "obvious choice"? Refer to my post which you decided to, y'know, entirely ignore- this type of shit only furthers racism.

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29Nikki called you out on that nonsense. Bush was pictured like that because of being perceived as unintelligent (like a primate) - at least by presidential standards - which supposedly led to poor political decisions.

It isn't just that "his policies were unintelligent", a lot of the jokes were just plain because he looked like a monkey sometimes. It happens, and again, popular people tend to be compared to a monkey or something along those lines just because they can.

So, yeah, blacks were compared to monkeys at some point. Big fucking deal, if I was called an asshole and it hurts my feelings (lol), does that mean you should NEVER call my children an asshole? No, because that'd be a fucking stupid reason to not call someone an asshole.

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29Michelle is a Harvard lawyer and isn't in charge of any policy, so the only reason she's pictured next to a monkey is her appearance.

Personally, I think she's pretty dumb- I don't see why she should be excluded from any remarks/jokes just because she doesn't work on policy (so I suppose "political humor" isn't quite the right term, but that hardly changes much).

by the way, Bush attended Yale and Harvard. just throwing that out there.

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29Henceforth, there is nothing political about that type of "humor", it's classless, lowbrow racism.

So it's either strictly humor directly relating to big political figures, or it's racism? I see, I didn't know there were only two types of humor allowed.

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29Even if the connection to the racist term "monkey" were simply a coincidence - which is highly unlikely - you're defending a classless, unprovoked

personal attack on a woman. Good job, internet soldier!

oh no, someone was insulted because she's popular (and quite frankly, not all that intelligent either). BUT SHE'S BLACK SO IT MUST BE WRONG AND UNPROVOKED AND A PERSONAL ATTACK AND RACIST, AMIRITE?

Get your head out of your ass. People in the media get insulted all the time. Why should someone who's black be excluded from this?

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29 Since you're obviously having trouble making a case, you decide to shed your skin and show your true racist colors by claiming that slavery wasn't that bad

This is the point where you prove you're 100 different types of retarded. I already said- the racism that African-Americans endured way back then was terrible.

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29 and black people need to condone white people labeling them "nigg3rs" because every "race" went through rough periods.

because that's exactly how I put it, right? and not "Everyone gets shit no matter what- African-Americans are just the most popular example"

why are you so stupid

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29 Now we get to an inane list of comparisons: Reborn takes the cake for comparing positive discrimination in the UK to centuries of slavery.

Because past discrimination still should be considered to have happened to people today, right?

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29 After being pressured to provide examples, you finally do so somewhere in your wall of text. First up, the French, which apparently "get their fair share of shit from the Americans". LMFAO...so being perceived as surrender-happy equals to going trough centuries of slavery?

Because there was obviously never any point in time ever that an American beat the shit out of a French guy just because they're French, right? I mean, it wasn't in popular media... must not have happened

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29 On second thought, this might be even crazier than Reborn's comparison. Next up, the Dutch, which apparently have "dealt with shit". OK, then which "shit" have they "dealt with" that compares to centuries of slavery? I'm waiting!

Germany

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29 Finally, the Irish. Their plight can actually be compared to what blacks went through. So far so good, but what's the connection to racial slurs such as "nigg3r" and "monkey"? Those two slurs were designed to label blacks as sub-human in a time when blacks were perceived as such.

Because there were obviously never ANY form of hurtful things said to the Irish ever, right?

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29 I'm not very knowledgeable on fringe racial slurs, so if there a comparable slur which targets the Irish people's fortune as sub-human slaves, I'm sure it's equally as inappropriate and intolerable.

so wait, you're basically saying here that insults are only inappropriate if at one point there was a

bad context in which they were used?

I guess I shouldn't say fruit anymore; after all, homosexuals have been called "fruits", and they've been THE most discriminated group in history.

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29Slurs targeting white people as a whole (such as the examples "wigger" and "pinky") therefore aren't comparable. The use of "nigg3r" and "monkey" by white people will never be condoned.

see above. There are those who've been treated worse throughout history, but I don't see negative words made towards them being as illegalized.

Not to mention, as you can see these days, the words that WERE negative almost exclusively towards those individuals are fading into simply being blind insult words (Faggot, for example). They lose their meaning, thus, it's hardly much of a discriminatory word. Eventually, "faggot" will become something of a "bastard" or "asshole" or "douchebag". If I walk up to a black guy and call him an "asshole", he wouldn't get nearly as offended if I were to call him a "n!gger".

Obviously, he'd still be offended, but not to near as much of a degree. So, if "n!gger" becomes just a general word and not one used against African-Americans... well, shit, it's not really racist anymore.

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29If a black person does so, it's different, albeit ignorant - the same way me calling you a faggot over the internet and calling an outed homosexual a faggot to his face is different.

See above. If it becomes a word which is no longer targeted at a group, they shouldn't even be offended anymore. Sure, people might still be, but it won't be nearly as bad. Which is the whole point I'm trying to make- people need to lighten the fuck up, and realize words are words.

JohnDoe wrote on Tue, 16 March 2010 06:29As for putting the Irish, Dutch, French and "African" race in the same category...you're by no chance related to a certain woman from Alaska, right? har.

## File Attachments

1) [Google result for Bush monkey.PNG](#), downloaded 320 times



**bush-monkey**  
303 x 450 - 35k - jpg  
[doctorbulldog...](#)  
[Find similar images](#)



**bush-monkey**  
1120 x 800 - 493k - gif  
[bradler.com](#)  
[Find similar images](#)



**Bush is a**  
300 x 392 - 24k - jpg  
[beattherich...](#)  
[Find similar images](#)



part 1: **Bush**  
200 x 260 - 13k - jpg  
[pamperedqueer.com](#)  
[Find similar images](#)



**Bush Monkey**  
634 x 499 - 40k - jpg  
[politicalhumor...](#)  
[Find similar images](#)



**Michelle Obama**  
332 x 432 - 36k - jpg  
[jasonjeffrey...](#)  
[Find similar images](#)



**THE PRINCESS PROFILE**  
288 x 470 - 39k - jpg  
[beta.playdo.com](#)  
[Find similar images](#)



**Bush**  
191 x 177 - 29k - jpg  
[obatngantuk...](#)  
[Find similar images](#)