
Subject: Re: Pointsfix debate - cleared - I plead guilty :(
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 23 Dec 2009 06:46:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Tue, 22 December 2009 20:17Spoony's debate style has nothing to do with it. There are plenty of aggressive debaters on the pro-bug side at multiple levels of retardation. Why don't those people cause others to change their mind to pro-fix?
i've been saying that for a while now.

some of the stuff the pointsbug crowd have done in these debates has scarcely been believable. many of its advocates have been caught doing some really fucked up stuff to get what they want... endlessly lying to the community and hoping they won't get caught (most obvious examples: simpee, roni, clearshot, mikey), rigging polls, insulting anyone who dares to logically explain why the pointsfix is good for the game, saying stuff like "i'm better at the game than you so i obviously know more, case closed" (usually to people who are running intellectual circles round them at the time), constantly ignoring what the person they're arguing with is saying... and best of all, what happened at clanwars a couple years back.

people say: omg if spoony wasnt so mean to us we might like the pointsfix! it's not hard to see through this nonsense. the very worst you can say about my debate style clearly isn't very bad, (since nobody can successfully explain what's wrong with it despite innumerable challenges). compare that to the staggeringly awful behaviour from my opponents. you'd think THAT might put people off the point mod THEY are advocating, even if you needed any more "putting off" than the fact they can't explain why their point mod makes sense. just look at the question i asked nunega and simpee at the top of this page; did anyone expect them to be able to answer it? of course not, the game's been out nearly eight years, why would anyone suddenly figure THAT out?
