
Subject: Re: Pointsfix debate - cleared - I plead guilty :(
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Tue, 22 Dec 2009 19:52:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Tue, 22 December 2009 14:37surth? / surth! wrote on Tue, 22 December 2009 13:16not trying to make much of a point here since i agree with the pointsfix - but you could say that people get points for actions that dont do any damage precisely because they dont do any damage. a shooter shooting a rushing flamer is a person not repairing/helping to kill the flamer.

Still wouldnt make a lot of sense though.

it wouldn't make any sense at all. indeed it simply discourages people from real tactics, like trying to kill them with a grenadier/engi (or least to push them back), or fix your buildings or a friendly vehicle.

it would add another tactic, which is getting points but not doing damage or repairing a building.

of course, it would still confuse the hell out of newcomers and my example only works in very specific scenarios while in most cases the pointsbug simply makes the game counter-intuitive and unbalanced.

about nobody ever showing you an example of your writing style being hostile: I dont have to show you. The mere fact that a lot of people think so makes it a viable argument.
