
Subject: Re: Pointsfix debate - cleared - I plead guilty :(
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Tue, 22 Dec 2009 19:16:04 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Tue, 22 December 2009 12:11 like i said to simpee the first time he brought this up... if he wants to argue that various infantry are too expensive or tanks are too cheap, by all means let's have that debate. but don't go saying that the solution to this "problem" (simpee asserts that it's a problem by fiat, of course) is to let people get points for absolutely no reason.

btw quick prediction: neither simpee or nunega will be able to respond to my last post, but they won't be able to bring themselves to concede they were wrong again, so what they'll do is not post in this thread again and bring up the same subject in another post several weeks or months from now making the same points that have already been disproven.

history repeats itself yet again. not trying to make much of a point here since i agree with the pointsfix - but you could say that people get points for actions that don't do any damage precisely because they don't do any damage. a shooter shooting a rushing flamer is a person not repairing/helping to kill the flamer.

Still wouldn't make a lot of sense though.
