Subject: Re: Pointsfix debate - cleared - I plead guilty :(
Posted by EvilWhiteDragon on Mon, 21 Dec 2009 11:37:55 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Crimson wrote on Mon, 21 December 2009 09:13I don't see why it matters who is the "face" of the points fix. I was an active participant in the debates two and a half years ago when a few servers started balance testing it. I compiled all of our facts, figures and results to create the long announcement post that should be REQUIRED READING for all debate participants. (Otherwise, surely you can not be a Master Debater)

I did my best to conclusively prove that:

- 1) this was, in fact, a bug and not intended by Westwood developers
- 2) Improves gameplay by removing nonsense tactics
- 3) Results in the correct winner of a match decided by points, as categorized by more "field" presence, map control, aggression, buildings damaged/destroyed
- 4) Gives a better newbie experience because they aren't berated for seemingly proper activities such as repairing a building or saving up and buying the most expensive tank

If the pro-pointsbug people can't see that, I'm not sure what else we can do.

That was basically my point to Spoony, we can argue with them, but if they don't care/don't listen to our arguments then that's pretty much a waste of time. The topics about this die much quicker if we would just ignore the people that are just here to troll.

Don't feed the trolls

Edit:

Crimson, PM?