
Subject: Re: Pointsfix debate - cleared - I plaid guilty :(
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Sun, 20 Dec 2009 17:48:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Spoony wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 11:23surth? / surth! wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 10:52Quote:and that says a lot. exactly the style of writing im talking about.

Ah, so it's italics you object to, is it? I'm still not really getting it.How does it say a lot? Its like youre saying im a malevolent dishonest person without any integrity or sense of honesty - dramatically speaking -, just because i happened to debate with you instead of with simpee.

Quote:surth? / surth! wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 10:52Quote:

Quote:Okay, now you exposed him and everybody knows that he's unbelievably pathetic. can we move on now?

...you'd better ask him that. i had a dim hope this would be the first pointsfix debate he participated in in which he DIDN'T do something incredibly sad. it wasn't. and when i caught him, you're asking ME if we can move on? again, its obvious HE cant move on, so i'm not even bothering. perhaps you should. perhaps if more people called him out on it instead of just leaving me to do it and criticising me for doing so, he might get the message. Yeah, like when George Zimmer did that and Simpee said "LOLO FFAG ROFL" and then continued being batshit insane. They will never, ever, ever get the message.

Quote:surth? / surth! wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 10:52Quote:Quote:Okay, let me rephrase that then. if you want to "expose" them, do so without resorting to hostile language

ok, so... when he lies to the community to try to make me look bad, what do you suggest i call him instead of "liar"? i'm all ears. dont resort to semantics. its not the use of the word liar, its BEING CAUGHT RED HANDED LYING AGAIN AND AGAIN (capslockemphasis added by me).

i still don't follow you. so it's fine to call him a liar, but emphasizing the point is "hostile"? calling the way you react to any of simpees post "placing emphasis" would be an understatement, but you may not be aware of this. its hard to properly see ones own writing style.

Quote:surth? / surth! wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 10:52Quote:Quote:and dont bring it up in pointfix debates.

sure, just as soon as he stops doing it in pointsfix debates, but he does it in non-pointsfix debates too, so no promises. reminds me of the debate on minarets in switzerland. "Well they dont allow churches in their islamic countries either, WHY SHOULD WE BE ANY BETTER?"

no, because i'm not saying "well, why should I act any better than simpee?" i'd never do the stuff he's done no matter what the provocation. You said you'd stop just as soon as he would, but there simply is no point in that.

Quote:surth? / surth! wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 10:52Quote:Quote:doesnt seem to have worked so far, does it?

actually, you'd be surprised how many times he's stopped posting out of sheer humiliation, then started a new argument several months later in the hope that everyone won't see his previous bullshit and my scathing destruction of it.

^

exactly. it is partially successful. i don't claim it's entirely successful, but it's better than nothing. its not a lot considering how many people are put off by all of these tedious debates in return. like this one. which is why im gonna stop after this post.

Quote:surth? / surth! wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 10:52btw, I want proof of you having once fucked jesus. pics or it didnt happen.

wtf, nobody else has to prove their religious claims! now give me automatic seats in parliament, control over thousands of schools, laws preventing criticism of me and i don't want to pay tax.

You're only a religion if you have enough devotees. right now youre just a sect. like islam. or buddhism. or anything else that doesnt rhyme with fistianity!
