

---

Subject: Re: Pointsfix debate - cleared - I plaid guilty :(  
Posted by [Herr Surth](#) on Sun, 20 Dec 2009 13:36:19 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Spoony wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 14:15surth? / surth! wrote on Sun, 20 December 2009 07:04That way is very argumentative and mathmatically. That itself is not bad at all good start! hooray!

Quote:Quote:however you use it in such a way that, coupled with you constantly repeating phrases like "YOU LIED OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN" makes you appear arrogant. Notice I said appear.

i repeat it every time he does it, which is an absolutely staggering number of times, and every time he has the nerve to criticise \*my\* debate style. for example, in this thread he said that anyone agreeing with me must be "brainwashed by spoony" - what? do you have any idea how many times simpee has outright lied to the community to try to win pointsfix debates? whereas everything i've said has been entirely honest. stupidity like that is begging to be smacked down in the bluntest possible terms, and it doesn't make me arrogant if i don't stand for it. EVERYTIME HE DOES IT - STAGGERING - OUTRIGHT LIED - ENTIRELY HONEST - its vocabulary like that. it makes people hostile and tedious. No matter how true it is.

Quote:Quote:Also, I didnt compare you to simpee, i merely stated that your way of arguing has a similar outcome to simpees. Surely different methods can end in the same outcome? the same outcome? you're saying that when simpee lies to the community to try to win an argument, and i catch him red-handed, you consider this "the same outcome"? if so, you clearly don't value honesty very highly... No, i'm saying that neither of you gets anywhere by arguing in such a manner. You've debated this for what, a year now? Everybody who you can convince is already convinced and everybody else is a lost cause. All you do is turn away the people who would agree with you if you werent so insistent.