Subject: Re: Is it really Michael Jackson? Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Tue, 08 Sep 2009 19:25:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

TORN wrote on Tue, 08 September 2009 10:38Thanks, I was looking for that hat. Sorry but he's still a guilty pos.

I can play post a link too, but it dosen't mean anything.

Did you see the interview where that boy was holding hands with him and putting his head on MJs shoulder? Saying they loved each other and nothing wrong with that? Sick shit.

You probably believe OJ was innocent too. LOL

Yeah, because clearly, fathers are supposed to beat their kids senseless and shouldn't show them ANY compassion, so it's outright forbidden for any man to care for children- whether they be directly his or not.

And OJ is a different story.

Also, how's he guilty? He was never proven guilty, all the "evidence" is made up by people why simply wanted him for his money, and so on.