

---

Subject: Re: 9/11

Posted by [DarkKnight](#) on Tue, 01 Sep 2009 01:17:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Mon, 31 August 2009 18:56Dover wrote on Mon, 31 August 2009 18:35DarkKnight wrote on Mon, 31 August 2009 15:03he was able to pull off 9/11.

What does this mean? Have you seen the footage of him being told the nation is under attack? He sat there like a kid who can't find his mom at a playground.

DarkKnight wrote on Mon, 31 August 2009 15:03Of course Clinton who was just in office he in now way had anything to do with it

What?

I see what he was going for, he just said it wrong.

He was sarcastically implying that there's no way Bush could have pulled off such an "intricate" plan (that middle school dropouts could apparently "uncover"), especially when most of the same people say he's dumb as a load of bricks and what have you.

His second "point" was that any involvement Bush had, Clinton probably had just as much, all things considered.

But that's a hypothetical argument to an already retarded theory, so...

btw your hired as my new editor. yes that's what i was trying to say lol

No I don't believe for one second Bush or Clinton had anything to do with it. I'm going with the wild conspiracy theory that andr3w282 posted about. What I was trying to say is if your going to blame Bush who was only in office for a few months then blame the president before him as well. Don't see why he would be excluded.

---