
Subject: Re: Childish n00bstories behavior
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Wed, 19 Aug 2009 13:39:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Wed, 19 August 2009 08:00: I still have the emails between EA and I so I can tell you that what you're saying isn't exactly truthful. He asked for an explanation of why I banned you. If that's the only thing he pressed you about, then you are probably fortunate.

Crimson wrote on Wed, 19 August 2009 08:00: I explained that because he instructed both of us to get along or stop talking to each other and you continued to attack me (and I gave him quotes), I had no choice left but to ban you for a while. He did not have a problem with this. He didn't 'instruct' me to do anything, as I've said several times. It is true that I told him that I would TRY to overlook some of the more incredibly bizarre and corrupt things you were doing, but you've no idea how difficult that turned out to be. Let me give you one example. Remember when I said: "Crimson, after all's said and done, I sincerely hope you prove me wrong" (or something to that effect), you said don't worry, I will. A mere matter of days later the truth about SK - and you - comes out.

Aside from all that, if he had 'instructed' me what he apparently instructed you, I'd have told him thanks but no thanks. I would have been extremely offended if he thought that we were on the same moral level; it'd be like saying: "Germany, Poland, BOTH OF YOU cut it out!"

When you've said your very worst about me, it isn't going to be anywhere near as bad as some of the stuff I've said about you. Time and time again you've painted me as some kind of supervillain simply for calling you out on really, really fucked up things you did. You clearly didn't see how unbelievably pathetic that was at the time, but you seem a little wiser now, so open your eyes. OMG you showed apoc a quote, what of? Me complaining about my website getting hacked? Me standing up to the bully? This is slavik453 logic.

You can dislike me as much as you care to, but I've never given you a reason to distrust me. And yet you banned me, while the likes of 0x90 and slavik/null and xptek and kholdstare get away with a great deal. When slavik came back recently (and completely humiliated himself again), he almost gave me the impression that he was quite close in your counsels recently. I could almost believe that you considered the likes of him a better ally than me; is that true? Don't answer that out loud if you don't want to, but if internally you're thinking 'yes', then god help us.

Crimson wrote on Wed, 19 August 2009 08:00: If you'll remember at the time, I had just met the guy in person because this all happened around the same time EA flew me to Los Angeles for the first C&C3 Community Summit. I certainly do remember; I met him about a month before.

Crimson wrote: Either way, you and I have collaborated on several projects since then, up to and including getting the points fix into production which might be the best thing for the game since Sliced Bread, and like I said before, I was not bringing you into this for any other reason but to demonstrate to these guys that EA does not run these forums nor can they make me stop or change any policies. Indeed they can't. However, I've asked you several times over the years whether you consider yourself answerable to the community, not to EA. You generally give me the impression that you

don't. I don't accept that this is the same situation as you running n00bstories; this is the official Renegade forums and if you don't have a legal obligation to run it more fairly than you have done with, for example, me... or exodus... then you ought to have a moral obligation. Like I said in the thread which has since been deleted; you put whatever filters you like on the n00bstories forum and you won't hear much complaint from me. Doing it here, however, is unjust.
