
Subject: Re: Is Obamas Muslimness Bad?

Posted by [Dover](#) on Wed, 10 Jun 2009 21:01:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

u6795 wrote on Wed, 10 June 2009 13:55Dover wrote on Wed, 10 June 2009 16:51Gen_Blacky wrote on Wed, 10 June 2009 12:43no military experience.

I love how people keep bringing up military experience like it matters. The two widely-accepted best presidents America has ever had (F.D.R. and Lincoln) both had little to no military experience. F.D.R. had none and Lincoln had six months in a militia that never saw any combat. It's more or less because Military Presidents tend to have a deeper understanding of the armed forces and use them more wisely. Perhaps not always, but it is often the case. People were worried about Obama because we're kind of in the middle of two wars, regardless.

F.D.R. and Lincoln. World War II and the civil war. Look at two presidents that are known for their military experience: Eisenhower and Kennedy. Vietnam was an abject failure and so was the Bay of Pigs invasion.

Give me one example of a "military" president using armed forces wisely. Major (and preferably recent) examples, not Washington putting down some farmers rebellion or something.
