
Subject: Re: Is Obamas Muslimness Bad?

Posted by [Prulez](#) on Tue, 09 Jun 2009 20:28:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dover wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 20:43GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21
For one, if it's a Bush initiative, why the fuck is Obama continuing it? I thought Bush's economic plan was failing according to him.

Because as much as Obama and the rest of the country loves change, the US constitution is designed to resist change and make it very difficult. If the 700billion bank bailouts could've been reversed, I'm sure they would be.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21For two, yeah, it pretty much IS free money. The only downside is government regulation, which is causing companies to outright go under because they can't really meet the requirements (GM, etc).

Again, I should repeat myself. The stimulus package is for the most part NOT FREE MONEY. Just because you repeat it over and over doesn't make it any more true. Free money was the bank bailouts (Under Bush). Even the auto-industry bailouts weren't free money, but they were buying stock, which the government will sell once the company gets back on it's feet(Something Sweden has done successfully in the past).

Oh, and of course. GM was doing SOOOOOO well before Obama came along. Clearly, his less-than-100-days of regulation completely destroyed America's largest corporation.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21Three, yes, AIG is a surely deserving company, right? Not to mention they hold control of the ports that Dubai should've owned, but don't thanks to stupid pricks in congress.

Keep pretending Obama's fault. If you repeat it enough times, it might come true.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21Yeah I clearly meant its physical condition .

What the hell does this mean, then?

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Fri, 05 June 2009 05:36closed down a terrorist interrogation facility rather than fixing it up,

Fix it up as in give it a new paint-job? Fix it up as in put in new hardwood floor? Fix it up as in somehow bring Guantanamo Bay, Cuba under US National jurisdiction? What did you mean by "fixing it up"?

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21Anyways, while I do understand your point, address this one: where are the terrorists that were held captive going to go? Either they go to another, much more secretive location (LOL BUSH R BAD HE KEEP THINGS SEEKRIT), or they're simply let free. Now, if they're putting it in US waters where our laws apply, and it's not a super secretive location (afterall, doing that is just a failed Bush administration method, amirite), then good. But I haven't quite heard news of that. If you'd like to correct me, feel free to.

Keep them in an American Supermax prison. That's what Obama wants to do, and that's what he should do. If you want to see why he hasn't, see the long list of Republican governors and senators that don't want to take them because they think there'll be terrorists living in their neighbor's attic.

I'd like to draw special attention to this:

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21 where are the terrorists that were held captive going to go? Either they go to another, much more secretive location (LOL BUSH R BAD HE KEEP THINGS SEEKRIT), or they're simply let free.

You may find this to be informative reading.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21 I'm referring to giving the Queen of England an iPod, bowing to a foreign leader (Again, a small thing, but stupid nonetheless). I'm not saying Bush was any better, but for a guy who people hoped would be a lot better with foreign relations... yeah. Plus, a lot of foreign investors can see US is just going deeper into a shithole, so they're not going to be as apt to help us out.

Most of the apparent "foreign relations" he does is the typical bullshit. Shaking hands, talking with them, etc. Outside of that, it doesn't seem like he's doing a better job than Bush did, really...

The thing is, nobody else is complaining! The queen of England doesn't seem the mind getting an iPod, nobody else seems to be making a shitstorm out of the supposed "bow". The only people that seem to give a shit are the people who are pre-disposed to dislike Obama in the first place! Every foreign leader and every country he's visited has given glowing reviews and has nothing but kind words for him and for the US. A big change from the last administration. This is your idea of a fuck up? What's your definition of a catastrophe? What is "good", if this is a fuck-up?

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21 Oh, I also like how if people disagree with Obama, they're "butthurt conservifags". Way to be a biased prick, considering plenty of people on both sides of the aisle are disagreeing with various things Obama has done.

But only butthurt conseri-fags are making a big deal about things that don't matter, like supposed mini-bows, or giving iPods as gifts, or Obama not going to church on Sunday, etc etc. If you do these things, you're a conservi-fag, and chances are you're loaded with pure butthurt.

You can object to Obama's policies without being a retard in the process. Most people just choose not to.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21 I live around the DC area, I should know. He does do this, and actually very frequently. You might not think much of it, but considering the amount of people who do business in DC and can't get through, that's a lot of people who are either late to meetings/work/whatever, or who outright can't get to their jobs. Not to mention, this can fuck up local businesses just as well, since everyone is focused on this one business he's going to.

You live in DC? There's your first problem.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21 You might not think much of it, but to me, this is fucking bullshit. One man should NOT be able to close down streets and fuck up or "save" a business as he does just because he decides to do so. It's supposed to be a free market, not a market controlled by the government and whatever he wants to go to. He's a fucking President, he needs to start acting like it.

We torture, you say "We have to do what we have to do". We start a pre-emptive war against a country that hasn't shown any aggression toward us, you say "They deserved it". A street near you gets shut down, you say "THIS IS FUCKING BULLSHIT".

Also, lol @ the president visiting a business = Market controlled by the government. I won't even comment on that. That's too easy.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21 Also, the US still has a pretty shitty view from people overseas,

Obama's fault, I'm sure.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21 the economy is hardly being "fixed" (He's just pumping money into businesses he deems fit to throw money at... way to continue fiscal irresponsibility that's been plaguing our nation for years, asshole),

Lol, if someone on this forum called Bush an "asshole", you would greet them with bullshit like "You're unamerican!" and "Why do you hate this country?".

As for the economy, see below.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21 and I haven't really seen us pull out of Iraq yet (LOL WE'RE GONNA PULL OUT OF IRAQ RIGHT AS I'M ELECTED).

Wai2listen. He's been saying one battalion a month since the beginning of the campaign. He's been overly-cautious in his speeches ("I will consult with my commanders, etc etc"). If you're going to criticize the guy, at least quote things he's actually said.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21 I do agree it's good he's finally doing something decent and giving to the scientific community, but who knows, maybe they'll spend shittons of that money trying to research for a vaccine for something minor that's a huge deal all around (Swine flu, anyone).

Lol @ swine flu.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21 And restoring the rule of law? Hahahahahahahahahahahah. Yeah, no. If anyone should get their head out of their ass, it's you. He's continuing the same bullshit workarounds Bush did, but is adding his own touch of bullshit on top of it all.

Pics or it didn't happen.

GEORGE ZIMMER wrote on Tue, 09 June 2009 09:21 Actually I was- in a good majority of his speeches, he bashes Bush and his blatant "failed policies" and how he can easily fix them, and that "change" would happen almost immediatly. Now that he's in office, his tone changes to "well, bad things are gonna have to happen first before some good things happen". Once again it's the same fucking bullshit we've been experiencing for the past years.

Bashing Bush doesn't mean "I can fix this in 100 days". He's made it pretty clear it's going to take a while to fix. After all, it took a while to get into. Expecting otherwise betrays your lack of knowledge on how economics works. Just because your unrealistic expectations aren't met doesn't mean you can start spouting bullshit and expect to be taken seriously.

All in all, you should quit your bitching and suck it up.
....Spoony?

(Sorry for off-topicness, just had to say that)
