
Subject: Re: Hey look, another Obamination
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Fri, 22 May 2009 02:16:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

The unions do not want control. Here's a couple reasons why.

1) Unions do not know how to run a business. They may give the impression that they know what's good for the company, but privately, the last thing they want to do is be fully responsible for laying off people, cutting wages, or even ruining the operation. The reasons unions survive is because that's what the corporate types do.

2) PR. Unions aren't really popular in North America. Would people buy vehicles from a company owned by a union? I'm betting not too many would. So the company would have a nightmare unloading cars, and would be in financial ruin.

3) If the union runs the show, who will bargain against the union? Disgruntled employees will associate unions as a bunch of corporate fat cats, and either create their own union to protest against the union, or they'll seek jobs elsewhere.

4) Efficiency. As I noted in #1, unions don't know how to run a business when it comes to administration. Bureaucracy is the name of their game, but turning a former non-union environment into a union one will create major headaches. Can you imagine the entire white-collar/design staff/accounting/human resources/etc. portions of the company being organized into separate unions with their own bargaining, and pensions, and wages? There'd be so much insanity that it'd make Ron Gettelfinger donate to Sarah Palin's 2012 campaign just to get her elected so she could break the company up again.

Unions aren't dumb. The reason they've existed this long is because they pretend to run with the 'little guy,' and if they abandon that image, they'll lose membership, and dollars.
