

---

Subject: Re: Hey look, another Obamination  
Posted by [Dover](#) on Thu, 21 May 2009 10:33:09 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Muad Dib15 wrote on Wed, 20 May 2009  
19:52[http://money.cnn.com/2009/05/19/news/companies/uaw\\_ownership/](http://money.cnn.com/2009/05/19/news/companies/uaw_ownership/)

Look like Chrysler is going to the "rightful owners." If I recall correctly, the UAW's job is to make sure the workers aren't screwed over, not run the company. WHY THE FUCK ARE YOU DOING THIS OBAMA!!!! I AM FUCKING SICK AND TIRED OF YOU GIVING STUFF AWAY TO THE "RIGHTFUL OWNERS". THE FUCKING UNIONS AREN'T THE ONES THAT RUN THE COMPANY, ITS THE EXECUTIVES. IT'S THEIR JOB TO MAKE SURE THAT THE WORKERS DON'T GET SCREWED OVER. Now they will just sit on the board making the wages go up even more. 2010 can't come soon enough. I hope GM, Chrysler, and Ford get rid of them. Once that happens, then the auto companies can lower the wages from ridiculous to moderately high. You don't hear about the Japanese auto workers in the South complaining about what their wages are. I know what I want to say and what I'm thinking, I just can't express it.

/endrant

I've heard this same retarded rant from another fat basementdweller. Who was it, again? Oh, right;

This line here was extra lulzy:

Muad Dib15 wrote on Wed, 20 May 2009 19:52...THE EXECUTIVES. IT'S THEIR JOB TO MAKE SURE THAT THE WORKERS DON'T GET SCREWED OVER.

I'm not sure if you're naive enough to actually believe this is the way it works or you're trying to convince others to adopt this flawed worldview. With a few very rare exceptions, executives aren't payed or judged based on how happy their employees are, and as a result their focus tends to be more on shareholders and less on employees. If executives truely had the best interests of their employees in mind, there would be no niche for a union to fill. Ever wonder why you never hear any complaints out of whatever union it is that people who work for Google belong to?