
Subject: Re: [SKINS] SB Nod Apache
Posted by [Reaver11](#) on Mon, 04 May 2009 18:25:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Starbuck wrote on Mon, 04 May 2009 12:19Goobers,

I will say it nicely.

In your eyes the SS was not proof. But server owners are NOT going to take a risk. You have to understand it from a server owner's perspective.

If they do what you are saying...that is, if they wait for FURTHER PROOF (like as if the SS was not enough proof already), then they stand a great risk of said player using cheats in one of their games ruining it for EVERYONE and also having a chance of escaping without getting caught.

It's like catching a well-armed suicide bomber before he even had a chance to blow himself up. Are you going to argue that there is NO PROOF he was going to blow himself up? Hey, what we are saying is, we caught a suicide bomber, he was wearing shitloads of bombs all over him and we are not going to release the fucker from our maximum security prison.

And what YOU are saying to get him released is: NO, he had the bombs all over his body BUT there was NO proof he was going to blow himself up. You gotta understand, Queen's screenshot EQUALS the suicide bomber's bombs. Server owners will not see it any other way; in other words, they are not going to agree to release the suicide bomber and WAIT for him to blow himself up. Once you see and understand this analogy, you will see why nobody is caring about what you say and why it is quite pointless of you to keep posting to get Queen unbanned from most servers.

And to make matters worse, Queen's constant story changing regarding the origins of the SS did not help AT ALL.

So, the server owners here have a more valid and legitimate cause to stick to their decisions than to listen to your argument.

To put it even more easier (@goobers and others) -> The more you discuss this issue the more servers will ban him. Simply because of changing statements and this topic is kept alive.
