Subject: Re: If you are not Muslim you should be raped and killed Posted by Spoony on Mon, 20 Apr 2009 21:52:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Pyr0man1c wrote on Thu, 16 April 2009 13:37Almost all of these people are wrong, because they have been corrupt, and brainwashed to believe wrong things

Indeed. Islam is almost certainly wrong (at least, not inspired by a god... or if so, inspired by an appalling one who doesn't deserve our respect, let alone our obedience), and it is usually spread by brainwashing.

Though I'm not sure that's what you meant.

Pyr0man1c wrote on Thu, 16 April 2009 13:37and for a start suiciding is not allowed in Islam That's actually more complicated than it seems. Islam may oppose suicide, but it also makes incredible promises of reward to those who die in battle (the whole 72-virgins business... I've discussed the absurdity and immorality of that in another thread, perhaps I can copy-paste that if you like). This, of course, is why so many Muslims specifically choose a weapon which ensures that, in 'battle' (bit of a euphemism there), the Muslim will die.

Pyr0man1c wrote on Thu, 16 April 2009 13:37 and neither is killing innocents.

Depends on your definition of 'innocent'. Islam specifically instructs the death penalty for such things as homosexuality, apostasy, and being Jewish. Are these crimes in your eyes? If so, you presumably don't believe in freedom of religion (Islam absolutely does not), nor the freedom to enjoy one's personal life with a consenting person if one happens to be gay (either by nature or by the will of Allah)

Pyr0man1c wrote on Thu, 16 April 2009 13:37A lot of these people who were brainwashed were probably depressed or something, and easy to brainwash into doing these terrible things. Being depressed might help, although I would argue that the real problem is Islam's iron grip on education. Even in Britain in my primary and secondary schools, which were supposedly secular (i.e. not outwardly Christian), Christian daily prayer was compulsory and no religious education included the disclaimer "by the way, kids, there's no proof for any of this, there are much better explanations available, and an enormous amount of blood has been spilled over it all". This in Britain in this day and age.

Imagine how bad things must be in an Islamic country. So yes, brainwashing.

Pyr0man1c wroteAnd what religion are you?

Loaded question. "Do you have a religion?" is a better question, I feel.

Ma1kel wroteThen I'm gonna point that the completely unrelated fact that there were no car bombing every day before the occupation.

Not unrelated at all, but I'll get to that when I reply to the other guy.

Ma1kel wroteBecause Saddam was secular and repressed every kind of terrorism so their was no ability to kill all the members of the other sect. So the only reason they didn't kill each other like animals is because they couldn't.

Saddam was absolutely not secular. He didn't have sharia, but calling him secular on that basis is

like calling Hitler peaceful because his death toll was lower than Stalin's.

Ma1kel wroteEven Einstein thinks the idea that the brain survives death is ridiculous. Although he's a Jew so he is a greedy inferior son of a bitch according to the Koran. Einstein being Jewish is a common misconception. He openly stated that he was not religious, did not believe in a 'god'.

archerman wroteand to the people who thinks its the muslims who are killing the civilians in iraq: innocent 100 civilians werent being killed in a car explosion every day before the occupation. Ah, now we come to it. I'm not sure why Ma1kel called this fact 'unrelated', but he's not quite as far off the mark as you are.

It is true that the sectarian violence in Iraq was not really going on before the invasion. The key word there is 'sectarian', look it up if you aren't familiar with it. The violence is predominantly Sunni vs Shia, a fight which has been going on for over a thousand years, albeit not necessarily in that particular space and time. It started in Iraq specifically because some Sunnis chose to start it. They started a campaign of violence against Shia targets. The main idea, other than the general goal of killing 'heretics', was to provoke the Shias into fighting back, which would draw Sunni Muslims into groups like al-queda to protect themselves. Basically a recruiting tool.

And once sectarian violence starts, it tends to spiral pretty damn quickly and is extremely difficult to stop.

American and British troops are not really the targets; they're just in the way (because they're risking their lives to stop Muslims killing civilians). The general gist of the violence is Sunnis killing Shia and Shias killing Sunnis, both for the main reason that "they're killing us".

By the way, I was quite disappointed that you didn't respond to my last post in your direction, which was this one:

Spoony wrote on Thu, 02 October 2008 06:03archerman wrote on Tue, 30 September 2008 16:20Do you believe in the death penalty?

according to islam, (there are many aspects to discuss this but i will tell you what i think) God gives you life, and only God can take it away. so, i support every kind of punishment, but not death penalty.

according to islam? stop me if I'm wrong, but the qur'an and hadith specifically instruct the death penalty for apostasy, homosexuality and Judaism, none of which are even crimes by any sane definition.

archerman wrote on Tue, 30 September 2008 16:20premarital sex is exteremly forbidden is islam, to protect the family. its also the second reason of why europe is getting older: "family" has been losing its importance since 70's. but anyway, everyone is free to do what they want. not under sharia they're not, and not when a poor helpless girl forcibly suffers islamic circumcision (if there is anything more sickening than this that goes on in the current day and age, it's news to me)

archerman wrote on Tue, 30 September 2008 16:20Do you think same sex marriage should be legalized?

we shouldnt do it just because alligators are doing it (u heard it right). its another thing that harms the idea of family, its against the nature of man.

presumably this is about homosexuality itself, not homosexual marriages. "against the nature of man"? doesn't this rather contradict the idea of intelligent design? if you grant that sexuality is not actually a choice, and if you also happen to believe that we were created from a clot of blood by allah, you can't really argue that it's "against the nature of man"

archerman wrote on Tue, 30 September 2008 16:20Are you afraid others will judge you from reading some of your answers?

screw the others, im an individual of my own. but i can discuss any of my ideas civilly anywhere, anytime.

It's rare to find a Muslim who will.