
Subject: Re: How old is our planet, and the effect this question has on the Bible.
Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Sat, 07 Mar 2009 02:40:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jerad Gray wrote on Thu, 05 March 2009 19:55

I think one living cell magically appearing, multiplying into a multi cell organism after 4.5 billion years is just as likely as god creating a lot of cells in the form of a human....

Who said that micro-organisms "magically appeared?" The thing is, if you break life down, it really isn't that hard to understand. A small organism could have simply created itself through any sort of microscopic synthetic means, then reproduced itself over and over, then from there, evolved.

Or perhaps life on Earth didn't actually start on Earth? When Earth was still just a molten rock floating randomly in space, it was pulverized with meteorites. Who's to say that some microscopic biological lifeforms weren't originally from deep space and were simply transferred to Earth via one or a few of these meteors?

My point is, there are many scientific theories that make sense as to how life came to be on Earth. And each of those theories don't include any of the hazy inconsistent information that any of the theories presented through religion contain.

Edit: I replied to this post before I read any of the posts after it, fyi.

After reading some other posts I can reply to some of the points I remember off the top of my head:

Nothing: There can't be nothing. We both can and can't comprehend the concept of nothing. It's just nothing, no space no time, no light no lack there of, nothing. If something like that ever (didn't?) exist(ed), then nothing we have here today would have ever came to be. In order to create, something else of equal value must be lost. If there is absolutely nothing as mentioned above, nothing else can't simply just "spawn" there creating space, time, energy, and matter. And there isn't anything that can put that there either because something would have had to have existed in order to put those things there.. thus contradicting the entire point of there being "nothing."

Therefore it is impossible for there to be nothing. There has always been and always will be two things in existence: Space and time. Just as there will be sub categories that fit into space and time, such as matter and energy.

"I don't see eating bugs off my friends as civilized.":

That's because your mind has evolved past the point of simple instinct. We have grown to have the power to think freely and control instinct. Gained emotional control. Feeling control.

What you might think as "gross" and "disgusting" would simply be thought as strictly instinctive by other animals. I mean, if you, as a human, don't like the feeling of being "gross" or "disgusting," what makes you think other animals would feel any differently? The fact is, they wouldn't like it, as it is an unlikeable feeling. But pushing that aside, they don't have the luxury we do as to being able to decide what is gross and what is not.

"Humans are "all-knowing" because of their "science""

I've already explained this before, science is simply the STUDY OF THINGS THAT ALREADY EXIST IN OUR WORLD. All we, as humans, are doing is observing these things that exist and then recording them into statistics and data that we can read later.

The only thing's that Humans have created in terms of science is the means to read, measure, observe, and record data.

Did humans invent the flow of time? No! Only the means to read it. (Hours, minutes, Days, years, ect.)

We, as humans, only know what we have learned through observation and logical deduction. Truth be told, we know more about outer space than we do about our own planet. So.. how exactly are humans "all-knowing?"
