Subject: Re: How old is our planet, and the effect this question has on the Bible. Posted by Spoony on Fri, 06 Mar 2009 16:31:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Jerad Gray wrote on Fri, 06 March 2009 09:52*Sighs* No, its all there, what I am saying is that it would have been more logical for nothing (no planets, starts, life, galaxies, energy, ect.) to have existed at all, at any point in time, in fact, it would have made more sense for time itself not to have existed.

Thanks for finally explaining that. I don't know why I had to ask so many times for you to just answer the question instead of insulting me. I guess you can't help it, given your nature... just look at my signature, for one thing.

Jerad Gray wrote on Fri, 06 March 2009 09:52l also use "We" to refer to the human race at times

You dodge the point yet again. I asked what "we've moved on" is supposed to mean, when you use it as your reason not to respond to anything I say, even though the obvious reason is simply that you know you can't but you are too arrogant and closed-minded to simply admit you were wrong about something.

Jerad Gray wrote on Fri, 06 March 2009 09:52Finally, why should I respond to your points while I'm still trying to get you to understand the ones your quoting?

Your arrogance is breathtaking. It's bad enough that you think it's all my fault that I ask you to clarify a point you tried to make when you can't even put it into a complete sentence; it's bad enough that you insult me personally simply for asking you what you're talking about when your post clearly doesn't make sense. It's nothing short of bizarre, however, to retroactively use your nonsensical assertions there to justify your EARLIER refusal to respond to my points to do with creationism. You really don't know how stupid and ignorant you're repeatedly proving yourself to be, I expect.