

---

Subject: Re: What does title and reputation affect?

Posted by [GEORGE ZIMMER](#) on Fri, 06 Mar 2009 15:34:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cheesesoda wrote on Wed, 04 March 2009 16:52 Basically the perfect example is trooprm02. Goztow was willing to excuse his trolling because he made some quality, constructive posts in other areas of the forums. However, troop kept trolling, and his good posts started to dwindle. Once it was seen that he was an absolutely worthless contributor to the forums (and that a vast majority of members thought he was deserving of a ban), Goztow talked to Crimson, and troop was promptly banned.

I'm assuming that had troop continued to actually contribute something positive to the forums (even amidst his negative contributions), he probably wouldn't have been banned. At least, not permanently.

That's a pretty bad example, troop's good post to shitty post was about 1 to 2, in all seriousness. Probably even more.

Personally, I think that things that go against the law or against rules or are considered bad in whole, should be equally punished amongst people. Title and such should not affect this. I mean yeah, sometimes there's reasons as to why (Such as a bus driver crashing a bus... not too many people actually drive buses other than bus drivers, so yeah). But I'm saying if a Senator or Congressman were to, say, not pay their taxes, essentially kill their wife, or the like, I don't think that is ANY reason to EVER excuse their behavior.

Punishment should be equal amongst all men and women. And on occasion, dog.

---