
Subject: Re: Any word on next C&C?

Posted by [u6795](#) on Wed, 07 Jan 2009 02:32:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

TANKY wrote on Tue, 06 January 2009 21:13u6795 wrote on Tue, 06 January 2009 17:38Quote:sick looking tanks, cool planes, and deadly infantry for sure.

This is pretty much my entire point right here.

and yeah, I know, Germany is a tough sell with the gaming industry. It's an unrealistic idea but I was just saying what I wanted personally.

Edit: I was referring to the fact that in RA2 there are several war memorials that would not exist had the United States not fought and defeated Imperial Japan (AKA Iwo Jima memorial here in DC or wherever it is.) I don't think America would have those memorials had we not done some severe fucking up of our favorite Asian friends.

Yeah, you are right there...there were indeed the Iwo Jima Memorial and Pearl Harbor Memorial as well.

But I think that's just Westwood's level design. They did not know EA would shut down their studio and come up with RA3 where RA2 is pointless.

I think EA is simple taking control of the series; by erasing RA2 and starting over kind of with RA3.

I am playing C&C 3 now...I think I change my mind. It is indeed a good game.
Yep.

Also, yes, C&C3 is underrated although it is still riddled with balance issues. Good game nonetheless.

@R3: I just sort of realized I just suffered a complete mindfuck. You are correct, apologies.

Too many exams to study for ;_;
