

---

Subject: Re: UAW

Posted by [Dover](#) on Fri, 12 Dec 2008 23:33:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I still find it hard to believe that a union alone can sap a company dry single-handedly. These are agreements being reached, after all. If someone needs to take a paycut, it's the person not doing his job right. According to nikki, the cars are made fine. Maybe it's whoever's idea it was to keep pushing crappy, boring, gas-guzzling trucks?

Toyota hasn't been in Michigin nearly as long as GM and Ford have -- It's basic logic that retirement for their employees costs less. They have less retirees.

Conservifags loev to haet unions, but in the end a company is responsible for it's own business decisions and the contracts it voluntarily enters.

Should the UAW have given in at this moment? Probably. At the second senate hearing they said they were willing to compromise, but just because they refused to cut their wages by 50% (!!) as asked this time around doesn't mean they're going back on their word. That's a very large cut to swallow. Likewise, they can't be blamed for single-handedly destroying a major industry. To do so is to absolve bad business practices and nearly a decade of failed economic policy of any blame.

Then again, who's "fault" it is doesn't matter much, does it?

---