

---

Subject: Re: Best Article EVAR!

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 26 Nov 2008 13:54:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

R315r4z0r wrote on Wed, 26 November 2008 00:38pawkyfox wrote on Tue, 25 November 2008 08:54R3, I am sick of your liberalism. You don't get it do you? Child porn requires REAL children to perform the hideous acts. Think man.

What's funny is that I don't consider myself a Liberal... in fact I voted for McCain... lol.

Watching said things is not committing the act. Watching the video may or may not be indirectly responsible for the demand of people committing said crimes, but that in no way should be the care or concern of the person watching the video or looking at the image. As long as you aren't doing and/or somehow apart of, and/or a witness of the illegal act, you should be able to watch or view it being done by someone else.

What I mean is this.

It should be illegal to:

1. Commit said crimes physically.
2. Directly assist or aid someone else in committing said crimes. (Such as being the camera guy or simply donating to people who commit such crimes)
3. Witness said crimes (physically or through a medium) with complete undeniable knowledge that it isn't an act, and not report them, or else be held as an accomplice. (Such as being an audience member viewing the crime as it is being committed, be it through physical means or through some media like digital video. (Meaning if you tune into this crime being done live, and you know that the people aren't acting but are physically committing a crime, then you are put in a spot were you must report it to the authorities or else be held as an accomplice)

That is it. If I was to go onto google, right now, and look up bestiality porn or what have you, then save the images to my computer, I, in no way shape or form, should be liable for the acts committed in the images and/or videos that I collect because I personally did nothing to contribute to the person committing that crime. For all I know or care to know it could be faked, unreal, or even already be being investigated.

..err I'm no good at legal talk, so forgive me if you couldn't understand me through my run-on sentences...

So you said what I did, but just in a long-winded reply?

---