

---

Subject: Re: Windows7

Posted by [Caveman](#) on Mon, 17 Nov 2008 17:59:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

danpaul88 wrote on Mon, 17 November 2008 17:43 Vista has a lot of stuff XP does not, such as a half decent automatic backup system, even if it doesn't have much flexibility. Other things I quite like are the improved Windows menu, integrated Windows Update (and Microsoft Update if you opt-in to that) and superior reliability compared to XP in my personal experience.

I guess if you don't actually make use of the new features then no, Vista has nothing XP does not offer you. But, in the same way of thinking, does XP offer you anything that Windows 2000 didn't?

EDIT: And as for the FPS in games thing, I find Vista actually has a higher FPS in a lot of games than XP ever did. At launch Vista wasn't very good for gaming, but with all the updates since then it is on par with, if not better than, XP.

Well yeah its down to what people use. I dont need an automatic backup system. I only backup really important things onto a pen drive. The only other time I backup files is when im formatting and I dont want to have to readd my favs so I copy them. Improved menu? I dont call a flash, resource hungry menu an improved one. Im not one for auto updates, the last time I let windows update itself it downloaded a dodgy svchost and it took out my system, I was forced to format.

XP never crashes on me. I haven't had a BSOD in years that wasn't down to me. For example, overclocking my graphics card and windows didn't like the memory speed I set and BSOD'd midgame. I actually find that XP is very very stable. Less can be said for when I used vista, it locked up, refused to recognise hardware. I cannot compare XP to 2K since I never used it however I can compare it to 98SE... I think we all can.

---