

---

Subject: Re: Freedom of Religion?

Posted by [R315r4z0r](#) on Thu, 16 Oct 2008 00:12:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Go over my thread again and tell me once were I said "female" circumcision.

I'm not talking about female circumcision, I'm talking about male circumcision, hence me replying to pawky who was talking about male circumcision. Not to mention the fact that I'm not a female and I said I was circumcised.

And also, I don't know why you are arguing with me, we have the same opinion, it just took me a while to understand what you were trying to say. (Hence my proposal that I've already explained twice)

However, I will explain myself one more time. Note this is a COMPROMISE OF WHAT SHOULD BE not WHAT IS.

If you are arrested, you are arrested for breaking the law. No matter what reasons you had for breaking the law, you broke the law. So if you kill someone because it is apart of your religion... then, religion aside, you are being arrested for murder. As long as mention of religion is not included in the reason for arresting you, then you are not being denied your freedom of religion.

However, I do not see how changing it to "freedom of belief" will change anything. You are free to follow a religion that asks you to mutilate someone. But you can also simply just believe in mutilating someone for some cause. Either way, it's the same situation and result. Which brings me back to my ignorant first post; why even bother?

---