

---

Subject: Re: Freedom of Religion?

Posted by [futura83](#) on Sun, 12 Oct 2008 21:58:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cheesesoda wrote on Sun, 12 October 2008 22:49insert\_name\_here wrote on Sun, 12 October 2008 17:46cheesesoda wrote on Sun, 12 October 2008 22:41How in the Hell is that reductio ad absurdum? History is a great teacher, and all throughout history we can see how willing government is to force people to adhere to religious practices that it deems correct.

Hisotry might say that, but in these modern times there would be alot more civil unrest as there is one hell of alot more varieties in how people think.

We aren't living in a time where a leader's will is law, there are alot more complications than that and control over us at the level you say would always have far too much opposition. You're assuming this because we're already living in a society with these precautions as law. What if freedom of religion was never given?

That's a good question.

What could have happened is:

Religion was very strict, and people lived in fear, which would cause more country rivalries as they have different beliefs and there could be wars....so basiclly how it was before freedom of speech.

But saying that, i'll admit i don't know what truly came first; freedom of religion or freedom of speech.

I'd say that (in America) it was freedom of speech, as i know in the 1800s Mormons were prosecuted for their beliefs (namely marrying multiple women...the term of which slips my mind) whereas freedom of speech was done by the founding fathers years before.

---