Subject: Re: Gun control

Posted by GEORGE ZIMMER on Wed, 25 Jun 2008 19:24:40 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

warranto wrote on Wed, 25 June 2008 14:11Careful there. I'm not going to argue any points but "periods of time" means that people carried swords at one point in time when it was acceptable to do so and then the carrying of swords stopped (for whatever reason). Its fair to say that if you are going to use this as a reason, then you have to acknowledge that at some point of time people will stop carrying guns to protect themselves.

Which opens the question of "why not do that now rather than later?" It's been answered numerous times, both in this thread, and by many other people. It's just your personal willingness then to accept them as good reasons then.

But, for the most part, we're ALREADY being limited as it is. I rarely see guns strapped on the backs of people, which is understandable. Yeah, there's people with guns in pockets and etc, but I don't think I've ever seen a period of time in the past where weapons were pretty much limited, and it worked well for them.

Removing weapons from society just makes the problem worse. The only realistic way you can stop people from killing other people is by being the person who's attacked, and NOT being killed through whatever means.

Basically, you can't stop other people from being killed. Some way or another, people will be murdered. It's inevevitible, and by what means they murder is something that's ever changing.