
Subject: Re: 45% of Americans believe that humans did not evolve

Posted by [Spoony](#) on Sat, 14 Jun 2008 10:54:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 13 June 2008 09:45 Evolution apparently explains how the world was created

does it...? didn't know evolution is theorised to apply to planets as well.

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 13 June 2008 09:45 Just because that's how you define a religion isn't how everyone else defines it.

Ah, the argument that can't be refuted. That's because it isn't an argument.

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 13 June 2008 09:45 Yeah, that might seem contradictory, but look at it for a sec. I'm asking that people who believe in what created us and whatnot be classified under other people who believe that something created us (The big bang counts as something, believe it or not). Why? Well, it annoys me, and I'm sure it annoys others, that athiests, evolutionists, and many other people along those lines consider themselves "above" or "seperate" from the "religious" people because they believe in something "better".

So? Get over it.

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 13 June 2008 09:45 Really, if you look at it, I'm sure that you get pissed off when a Christian takes a "holier-than-thou" attitude when you tell them you believe that the process of evolution is what created us.

No. I don't give a shit what anyone else believes as long as

1. they're not trying to use it to infringe on others' rights/harm them somehow
2. they're not claiming public money for it

this doesn't qualify as either of those.

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 13 June 2008 09:45 Yet at the same time, think about how many times you've pretty much said to yourself, if not to others, "This guy's a nutjob, I'm obviously more intelligent" when you argue/debate about religion. I've seen it many times. So in that respect, there's a fairly large similarity between evolutionists and Christians- they both always think they're right, and the other is wrong.

Granted, this doesn't apply to EVERY evolutionist and Christian out there, but still. The majority of Christians think they're right, and that's the end of the story. The majority of athiests/evolutionists/whatever think they're right, and that's the end of the story.

And you think they're equivalent, in terms of the amount of evidence supporting the one compared to the other?

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 13 June 2008 09:45 But wait, how can BOTH be right, and the other be wrong? Surely, there must be a middle ground. Or, there's parts of the other religion that are wrong, and there's a middle ground between the two.

Yes, parts of evolution may well be wrong, but this is the wonderful part about it: it's a science, not a religion. Here's the difference: scientific theories have no trouble adapting when you learn more.

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 13 June 2008 09:45 But wait, according to you, the soldier is then not a

part of the military because of the fact that the tank is the military.
I'd really love to know where you got the "according to you" part from.

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 13 June 2008 09:45 See, I am inclined to believe the theories that the big bang is possible, and that evolution is a fact, but that it did not create us and that a greater being atleast guided evolution in its favor, but those "beliefs" don't demand or promise anything at all from/to me other than if someone asks me, I'd say "Yeah, I think that probably did happen".

Yet I still consider what I believe to be a religion.
What's it called?

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 13 June 2008 09:45 You know, it's just as ridiculous saying someone doesn't need to justify their actions or belief. Really, you should always have good reasoning and judgement behind your beliefs, no matter what they are. If an athiest/evolutionist cannot justify why they believe what they do.

You're being dishonest. I never said I don't need to justify my "belief". You spouted out this "atheists love to feel superior to theists" bullshit, when anyone with a double-figure IQ or higher can plainly see that you don't need any kind of ulterior motive to live your life without the need for religious belief.

Cabal8616 wrote on Fri, 13 June 2008 09:45 If a highly religious person walks up to you and asks why you believe in what you do, does that still mean you don't need to justify it?

If all you can say to them is "because I can", you're as ridiculous as that guy who has a "god hates fags" sign. Blindly following a belief is still blindly following it, no matter what the belief is. Firstly, yes - it does mean I don't need to justify my "beliefs", because they aren't used to infringe on anybody else's rights, cause anybody harm, or claim public money.

Secondly, from where did you extrapolate this:
If all you can say to them is "because I can"?

Thirdly, I hardly think my idle agreement with the theories of the big bang and of evolution can be classed as "blindly following". Seems rather desperate to me.
