Subject: Re: Spoony vs TD

Posted by Spoony on Sun, 18 May 2008 16:42:23 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18Here we go again, shut the fuck up.

You first chief, you started all of this crap, you took it to three different forums and a community match.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18And again, you've proven someone wrong over and over again. Ironic how you are always the one to prove others wrong in a debate I don't see what's ironic about it.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18yet all you do is repeat that as an argument every single time.

You're lying again.

Since we're talking about the Surth thread here, let's check the thread. http://24.239.220.58/smak/ubb/cgi/ultimatebb.cgi?ubb=get\_topic;f=33;t=010458
If you read each of my posts in that thread, any objective person can easily see you're lying again.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18And you are saying I BEGGED you for an undo of the Disqualification [b]OVER AND OVER?

No. You said the same lie in the Jelly privates forums and you were corrected there too. It doesn't surprise me that you ignored that and simply repeated the thing you were wrong about in the first place.

## Here we go again:

I didn't say you begged for the decision to be reversed "over and over". I said you CRIED about it over and over, and that's indisputable. I didn't even respond to the majority of your whingeing posts at all.

I did use the word "begging" in relation to your PM, and perhaps that was the wrong word to use. Considering how many posts you were making crying about it on Smak, and considering you tried to take your feeble revenge in a community match almost immediately after I refused to budge in the decision, it's a fairly understandable conclusion.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18You started telling people that after you suspended me, so I couldn't post this proof.

I've already pointed out what garbage this is. The reason I said so was because I was answering somebody's question. You were indeed suspended at the time, but you're trying to make it look like the only reason I said it is BECAUSE you were suspended, which shows just how desperate you are.

Now, let's talk about something else. Look how desperate you are to prove I supposedly lied about something. You have been CONCLUSIVELY CAUGHT LYING OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN, and you haven't addressed a single bit of it.

That would make you a hypocrite.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18Just look up and try to think of how many people are laughing at your pathetic attempts to argue over something you've already lost in the beginning. Yet everything I said turned out to be true, and most of what you said turned out to be lies, and you keep ignoring these facts. You know it and you can't refute any of it, but you'd rather die than admit you lost an argument, which is why you keep making post after post after post on multiple forums feebly trying to prove you aren't angry, when I've already pointed out that nobody even cares whether you're angry or not.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18Tip: Use your telepathy. Faggot. Fresh air, get some

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18Now let me be Spoony real quick: "You, Spoony, are just very very angry and I have proven you wrong over and over again (see my other posts) that you are lying to the community.

Yet I HAVE proven you lied to the community OVER AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN. By making statements like this, you're showing everyone you can't refute them.

TD wrote on Sun, 18 May 2008 12:18 If you tell me that I am angry I will just deny it, but if YOU deny it, then that means that you're angry over the fact that I said you're angry."

If I can make a slight correction here. When you claimed \*I\* was angry, which you've done multiple times, it doesn't bother me enough to deny it. When I claimed YOU were angry, you've made... well, must be nearly fifty posts now, on multiple forums, because the idea of someone on the other side of the world thinking you might be angry is a very chilling thought, apparently. I've already asked you why it's so important to you whether anybody you've never met thinks you're angry or not, because it's a complete puzzler to me.