
Subject: Re: question to christians about jesus
Posted by [Starbuzz](#) on Sat, 22 Mar 2008 09:04:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warranto wrote on Fri, 21 March 2008 20:05 I asked nothing about the bible. I asked on this evidence you had on God's non-existence (considering that's what were were talking about, and NOT the bible, I figured you would be providing evidence on God, not the bible)

Yes, you keep asking for the impossible and seem to enjoy that.

In addition to human discoveries, the Bible itself is the source of it's own demise thanks to it's huge errors.

It does not matter if it is God or the Bible...both are the same. This is your faulty logic I have been trying to point out. Unlike other religions, in Christianity, you cannot escape with trying to seperate the Bible and God. Both are the same as the Bible is "God's Word" and the Bible is an insight into God.

There is a reason that book is known as the "Holy Bible."

Rather than argue that, you keep asking for evidence on God (you enjoy that don't you?). The point of the whole thread is the validity of a mystical man who lived some 2000 years ago and some error-filled texts.

See, your whole useless arguments and your questions are all begging me for evidence! You keep basing your argument on the fact that I have this "evidence" and that I am obliged to provide it. My "evidence" is to have a open mind and look at other theories to our existence that explain things way better.

There are other theories that give a much more broader and logical view than the one that claims everything was spoken into existence.

warranto wrote on Fri, 21 March 2008 20:05 Show me where I have come to a "rigid" conclusion by saying there is no proof to support or deny God's existence.

You simply came to a conclusion about "God" that's all. You never said anything about proof.

warranto wrote on Tue, 11 March 2008 11:19 However, with that being said, Religion and God have, in essence, absolutely nothing to do with each other. To prove religion wrong in no way affects the idea of there being a God, only that one way of understanding him was incorrect.

If you are going to attempt to prove that God doesn't exist, you won't do it by proving any sort of Religion to be wrong.

Looks pretty rigid to me. You seem to imply that even if religious practices/religious texts were proven false, there would always be "God."

That is the whole point of the argument you enjoy missing out. As I mentioned above, the validity

of "God", the god with the capital G, is DIRECTLY tied to the error-filled texts known as the Bible. If it weren't for the Bible, "God" would not be so famous. Some other higher being to explain everything would be.

warranto wrote on Fri, 21 March 2008 20:05 You pulling these arguments out of thin air is what doesn't make any sense at all. NOWHERE have I stated ANYTHING you have been suggesting.

This "You're wrong because I'm making up faulty arguments for you" thing you seem to thoroughly enjoy doesn't quite work if you want to make any sort of valid argument, or even just to be taken seriously.

It is you who likes to drag the conversation to COMPLETELY useless areas of discussion.

What you have done so far is what typical Christians who defend their faith do: spin it. Let's cut to the crap shall we?
