

---

Subject: Re: Cloning/Murder

Posted by [warranto](#) on Mon, 28 Jan 2008 15:57:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Starbuzz wrote on Sat, 26 January 2008 14:37warranto wrote on Sat, 26 January 2008 12:09Starbuzz [ELITE wrote on Fri, 25 January 2008 07:54]Awesome reasoning as to why you follow religion, Muad. Though some parts about me are misunderstood, you spoke straight from the heart without any ego or false pride using plain simple logic most religious people refuse to see. Good job man. For YOUR sake, I am going to tone down my rheteroic.

@Blue: No.

Wow, I don't mean this as an insult, but I have NEVER seen someone willing to admit they use rhetoric to try and argue a point.

Basic epistimology shows that rhetoric is listed as an argument devoid of reason.

Way to completely demolish every single argument you've made in this thread...

English is not my first language so I am sorry you misintrepreted what I meant to say. I am especially weak in vocabulary. By rhetoric I meant to describe my very livid and heated logical arguments.

Sorry I used the wrong word. So no, I have not "demolished" any of my arguments.

Thanks.

NOTE: Why not Christians here fight back too using the Bible? Instead you nitpick on vocabulary and other small worthless insults to defy the argument at hand. Thank you.

Ah, well it was grossly the wrong word to use. I'm not nitpicking on vocabulary, nor did I "misinterpret" what you said, you just used a word that means the complete opposite of the context you used it in. It's like me saying "you're stupid" or "no", then replying that I actually meant "you're smart" or "yes", and it was you who misinterpreted what I said because I just used the wrong word.

Specific definition of rhetoric: Language that is elaborate, pretentious, insincere, or intellectually vacuous:

---