

---

Subject: Re: US bashing (I strike back)

Posted by [Dover](#) on Tue, 15 Jan 2008 21:36:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 13:09 I don't feel compelled to reply to any of that. Because you only quoted half of what I had said, and answered the parts that I would have already answered if you just quoted the entire thing.

I suppose you have that right. That's fine.

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 13:09 The only thing I want to reply to is this:

you melt is the same as trusting a convicted murderer with a gun to stand next to you and not kill you. There is no way to prove that what they say is what they are going to go by. Just like you have no way to prove that what the US says is what they are going to go by. The only thing you can trust in is yourself. That is why I said we can trust ourselves, but not others. Just like you can trust yourselves but not others.

Except, you know, the Soviet Union can't be compared to convicted murderers.

Why do people keep putting words in my mouth, I never said that. I never related my example to being strictly Soviet. I related it to EVERY SINGLE NATION INCLUDING SOVIET.

All the more so, then, because there are definately countries more cute and cuddly than the Soviet Union (The netherlands, for example).

Not everyone is a convicted murder. Not everyone is out to get you.

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 13:16 @ Quote number 1.

Yes it is exactly the same. I'm not trying to compare the motive to kill with starting a war if that is what you are thinking. I am comparing the ability to trust.

If you where standing next to a serial killer and he said he wasn't going to kill you, would you believe him?

If I were standing next to a known serial killer, and I matched the type of person this known serial killer hunted, and he assured me that I he would not kill me? No. I would not believe him.

Now, if I was standing next to a stranger on the street, or (for an even closer example), someone I had been talking to on a regular basis for several years/months, and they assured me they would not kill me. Would I believe them? Yes, I would.

I'll leave it to you to decide which is a more appropriate analogy for this situation.

R315r4z0r wrote on Tue, 15 January 2008 13:16 @ Quote number 2.

Lol, wow. I don't even want to touch that one... but I will cause it will look like I'm avoiding it: I specifically said I'm not arguing facts. And what did you do? Use facts to argue.

And another thing. From what you said, you make it sound as if I am defending the US..

@ Quote number 3.

See quote number 2.

There is no such thing as arguing without facts. Arguing without facts is nothing but yelling/insulting back in forth, similar to what you might know as a "bitchfit". Not a "Heated Discussion/Debate" (As per the subforum name) at all. If you don't like arguing using facts, perhaps this subforum isn't the right place for you.

And he doesn't need to make it sound like you're defending the US. You're doing a fine job making it sound like you're defending the US all by yourself.

---