

---

Subject: Re: And yet you people still say the American Army isn't capable of mass rapes

Posted by [danpaul88](#) on Sun, 13 Jan 2008 23:29:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

There are multiple problems with the first post in this topic.

The title of the post implies that the American Army ordered 2 or more males to rape one or more females on more than one occasion (Quoting topic title: 'Mass Rapes', plural). However the post only implies a single male raping a single female, which cannot be considered to be mass rape.

The title also implies, as mentioned before, that this rape was ordered by the American Army. However the rape was the action of a single man not acting under orders from the American Army, hence it cannot be considered that the American Army committed rape, but that a single male committed rape, and it just happens to be the case that he is in the American Army. If a Tesco employee (or other supermarket chain) commits rape, that does not mean Tesco commits rape.

Furthermore, the title states that the American Army is 'Capable' of mass rape. This much is true, because any group containing at least two males is capable of mass rape by the definition of the act, but it does not mean they will actually commit mass rape.

In future, please ensure the title of your topic is relevant to the actual post you make, and please refrain from being a complete and utter twat. Thank you.

---