

---

Subject: Re: Man returns gun ammo to walmart at 1300 feet per second

Posted by [BlueThen](#) on Tue, 11 Dec 2007 22:59:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

cheesesoda wrote on Tue, 11 December 2007 15:29IronWarriorGood try in an answer but no.

It is harder to build a bomb then it is to pull a trigger on a gun.

It is much harder for a human to kill a other human with a knife then it is with a gun.

And trying to mass murder people with a knife is not like counter strike, also the chances of a person living after being stabbed is higher then it is with a gun.

I talk from experience my friend.

The point is, if someone has the intention of hurting others, nothing is going to stop them.

If he obtained the rifle illegally, it would only further my point that you're not going to keep the weapons out of a criminal's hands if he wants it. Then, the best way to combat these situations is to let law abiding citizens who are on the scene respond to the situation. Obviously, anybody THERE would be able to respond to the shooter quicker than any police force ever could.

So now that we (should) realize that banning weapons doesn't affect a determined person's desires to go on a killing spree, there's no reasonable explanation as to why we should keep the gun out of the reach of law-abiding citizens. If a shooting does occur, law-abiding citizens react much quicker than an absent police force which would otherwise take minutes to arrive on the scene to combat the killer who may have already taken more victims. Oh, and citizens retain more of their liberties!

Yay for logic.

It'd minimize murder though.

---