
Subject: Re: 9/11 Mystery Plane...WTH?

Posted by [warranto](#) on Sun, 16 Sep 2007 15:00:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

razorblade001 wrote on Sat, 15 September 2007 11:17I remember seeing this video showing evidence of that a plane that hit the side of the tower couldn't possibly make it collapse in such a perfectly straight line.

I think that the plane hijackings were just a cover up or.. just like cover image for the terrorists. I'm not saying the terrorists didn't do it, but I think that they only hijacked the planes to give a "show"

They previously infiltrated the buildings, and planted explosive charges. The planes were only to cause chaos in the city. I mean think about it... if all of a sudden the towers collapsed due to explosives... which no one outside would know why it was collapsing. People would just think of it as an extremely unfortunate accident... and we wouldn't even know who planted the bombs. Then the terrorists would have failed in their mission.

The point of a terrorist is NOT to kill thousands of people. But the point of a terrorist is to get their point across. If the towers just collapsed randomly, the terrorists wouldn't even be mentioned in the reports. But since they flew the planes in, that is what got them their attention.

A number of problems with that theory.

1. The building that was hit second was the first to fall. Why? Because the aircraft hit the building in an area below that of the first aircraft hitting the first building. This means the weakened structure had a much higher load to bear than the first building, and subsequently gave out first.
 2. The terrorists must have amazing flight accuracy to fly into the exact floor where the explosives are located - without setting them off early or rendering them unusable. If you notice the collapse STARTS at the point of the aircraft's impact, and only after everything above falls on to the floor below it does the rest of the building collapse, floor by floor.
 3. Terrorists being in to mass murder or not, had they set off those explosions so that it made it look "spectacular". A building going down "as though it was planned demolition" by use of additional demolition material does nothing to ADD to the idea of a terrorist attack. A building blowing outward from the ground up, or some other readily identifiable way, so that people KNEW there were additional explosions would benefit their cause more than hiding it to look natural.
-