
Subject: Re: This should start an argument.
Posted by [nikki6ixx](#) on Thu, 30 Aug 2007 02:35:26 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jecht wrote on Wed, 29 August 2007 20:21

He's calling some of these people 'stupid' because even though they were given warning of an impending siege of water wind and whatever earthly hell a hurricane can conjure, many of them made naught an effort to seek better refuge and blatantly disregarded warning that their life was at risk.

Right... except that many had no means of leaving the city.

The FEMA shelters that were offered were subpar, and the processes were grossly mismanaged. Hell, the people were treated like crap in many cases. With all that, of course they'd rather try and stick it out through the storm. All they had to their name was their property, and maybe not even that.

Remember, these people had little or no insurance. So even if they left, they'd come back to nothing. I find it disgusting that people from New Orleans are relying on Red Cross donations, even though they live in the wealthiest nation on Earth.

Quote:

Quote:You're incapable of seeing things through the long lens of history, the way our enemies do.

History?... maybe instead of looking to the past, YOU SHOULD BE LOOKING TOWARD THE FUTURE FOR CHRISAKE!

Quote:

This statement is one of the biggest piles of literary bullshit I've ever seen. Anyone who has ever been to High School History class could tell you what the consequences are for disregarding the past, even though the author didn't intend the message to deliver that type of message.

The Author likely meant that this process takes time, and most people are so caught up on deadlines that they believe nothing is getting done, just because they're not getting done now.

Can you blame people for thinking like that? Wasn't it George Bush who donned a flight suit, and held a press conference on the USS Abraham Lincoln with a massive banner behind him that read, "Mission Accomplished" ... on May 1st, 2003... which was like, four years ago? Talk about 'deadlines'. Seeing as the war began on March 20th, 2003, it would be hypocritical of Bush to even lecture people on 'deadlines'. The White House certainly thought they could win in a few short months... which is the opposite of taking a long time.

As for the 'looking to the past' comment... well, this administration certainly didn't do their homework in Middle East History 101. If they had looked to the past, and critically evaluated it, there'd likely be no Iraq war. That's because the Middle East was a powderkeg, filled to the brim with religious hostility, big ego's, burning sun, and hot sand; not exactly a great place to cause a scuffle.

Quote:

I really want to know who the author is. Probably some idiot in a Klan clubhouse, or in some shanty in Utah.

Quote:

This quote made me laugh, simply because probably not five minutes prior to typing this little gem, you made reference to the problems that generalizing displays:

Quote:

Calling some of America's poorest 'stupid' just goes to show what an ignorant fucktard the author is.

You kicked Mr. Irony right in the crown jewels there.

I take issue with him calling some of America's poorest 'stupid' because my life wasn't always peaches and 'Super Nintendo-after-school'.

I'm not sure about yours...

...but I doubt you've ever collected bottles for anything other than a school bottle drive. I guess I used to be 'stupid' .

Calling poor people, 'stupid' , is ignorant. I guess all those people in Bangladesh are 'stupid'. China must be full of 'stupid' people too. Hell, Africa must be a whole continent of 'stupid'. I guess all those homeless people on our streets suffering from Schizophrenia and Depression are 'stupid' too, huh?
