
Subject: Re: An opinion piece - Donating
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 08 Jun 2007 15:10:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

CarrierII wrote on Fri, 08 June 2007 16:55 soldiers attacking an orca will bring it down, auto rifles are suprising lethal in numbers.
so let me get this straight: 15 soldiers > 14 soldiers and one orca?

warranto wrote on Fri, 08 June 2007 16:55 You just gave your own solution to the problem
No, I proved it's unbalanced simply because the only counter to donate is more donate. It wasn't always there, and the only counter to this thing the community introduced is to do exactly the same? How can you not see the problem here? That alone proves how much it's changed the game.

warranto wrote on Fri, 08 June 2007 16:55 Your teammates won't donate? Guess you have to put up with it. Why should the other teams willingness to work together suffer for your teams lack of ability to work together?

warranto wrote on Fri, 08 June 2007 16:55 The strategy is not unbalanced, the team you are with just sucks
Read the first post in the thread again, it seems to have gone completely over your head.

warranto wrote on Fri, 08 June 2007 16:55 I'm quite sure if you were with a team that would donate to counter it, you would not complain.
I'd still see it for the bullshit it is. Some of us are capable of thinking objectively in terms of fairness instead of how it personally benefits ourselves, as alien a concept as that might seem to you.

warranto wrote on Fri, 08 June 2007 16:55 As you always say, you don't need a Ramjet to deal with an aircraft
Uh... what the fuck? Stop making stuff up, please. It's OK to admit you have no valid argument, but kindly don't make stuff up.

warranto wrote on Fri, 08 June 2007 16:55 there is no excuse about unbalance because you don't have to wait for 1000 credits to get an effective unit to counter the aircraft or 900, as it were, since the only effective counter to a good orca pilot is an apache or a ramjet.
