
Subject: Re: An opinion piece - in regard to the public-server ladder

Posted by [m1a1_abrams](#) on Sat, 05 May 2007 10:28:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

You might say that having to play a game with literally uneven teams is like ending up with all the good players on one side. They're both unfair situations, but it's just the nature of the game really.

My point being that while you could ensure that the teams are numerically even, you can never ensure an evenly matched game, so is it really that pressing an issue? The smaller team might turn out to have all the best players anyway, so you could be giving them even more of an advantage. I just don't see the point of moderators, or whoever else, trying to make the game fairer, when the entire system is bound not to be.

Besides, the ladder is an individual points ranking anyway, so you can lose a few to bad luck. If you're really bothered about it, all you've got to do is make sure you're near the top of the losing side and you're fine. You'd be extremely unlucky to be in the situation enough times that it has a noticeable affect on your ladder score.
