
Subject: Re: An opinion piece - "Pointwhore"
Posted by [Spoony](#) on Fri, 13 Apr 2007 13:15:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

m1a1_abrams wrote on Fri, 13 April 2007 08:05I've got Spoony, you always say that the aim of the game is for your team to win. Many players on public servers buy their Arty/MRLS ASAP, then sit in one spot for the entire game. In itself, that isn't bad strategy, so long as the enemy lets them keep doing it... it's just when their team starts losing, they don't change strategy, because they could lose precious ladder. Instead they keep doing exactly the same thing, for as long as possible, so that they will not lose any ladder in the event of a loss, or maintain their high score in the event that their team makes a comeback.

Yet if the enemy doesn't kill them, the enemy's even less skilled and less organised than the person you're calling a pointwhore.

m1a1_abrams wrote on Fri, 13 April 2007 08:05I think it's perfectly fair to criticise the kind of players who seek only to improve their personal standings, regardless of whether it is the best way to ensure a win for the team. The term "point whore" is more often than not used inappropriately, but your piece has completely ignored the original definition. That's because the original definition is a big steaming pile of crap.
