
Subject: Re: Dispute between WG and Tsunami
Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Wed, 25 Oct 2006 20:27:24 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

warranto wrote on Wed, 25 October 2006 16:25 Unfortunately, missing the extra leg work is where his argument holds up.

Now, I agree that what you did, essentially, was the shortcut to what would have happened/will happen. Unfortunately, it's the "wrong" way of going about it.

Now, I'm sure people can understand why the average person is told to consult a lawyer before entering into a contract. Just based on what was posted here I've found numerous holes available to both sides.

That's what's annoying about law. Semantics are very very important. One small hole can ruin someone's argument whether or not they're morally correct. In the eyes of government, morality means nothing.
