

---

Subject: Re: A Lawsuit Against McDonald's That May Actually Make Sense?

Posted by [cheesesoda](#) on Tue, 17 Oct 2006 18:34:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I agree that McDonald's should have retribution for their lying, but it is not their responsibility to hold the consumer's hand. If the consumer has any food allergies, they should ask about that being in their food prior to their ordering and consuming the product. McDonald's, or any company/restaurant, should not expect to know everything about their individual customers. Their main responsibility is to provide their product within federal quality guidelines and sell them to their customers. Other than that, they shouldn't be held responsible. People have allergies to certain foods, but that is not the fault of any restaurant, nor the consumer, but it IS the CONSUMER's responsibility to ensure that they don't aggitate their allergies.

Edit: Would you blame a cat for aggitating someone's allergies? No, you would blame the person for having a cat. The same goes for this situation. You don't blame the company for selling their product, you blame the person for not being smart about their decisions to purchase the goods being sold.

---