

---

Subject: Re: Windows/Microsoft has pulled the last straw!!!

Posted by [jnz](#) on Mon, 11 Sep 2006 20:38:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ADM wrote on Mon, 11 September 2006 16:32 I got a chance to participate in the London Imperial College annual software developer showcase. They had several mainstream developers there show, some of which were discussing how the new upcoming Linux updates were shaping up. You'll be surprised how many problems and issues they ran in to. Linux is very powerful and bus friendly when controlling data but it lacks the robustness that Windows has.

Windows 2003 is a very stable operating system, that and the fact it is actually a better environment to design and develop software in than Linux. I use both platforms extensively, both have their uses and in my opinion there is no better operating system; they are both tools, and all tools are useful in given situation.

Also regarding the overclocking the processor to ensure stability. You'd gain 5% real-world difference in performance in Windows, Windows uses very little processing cycle time as it is, instead Windows consumes your memory. I was even talking to someone from the animation team this morning and they laughed when I mentioned your claim at overclocking to remain stable.

At work, I have 4 Windows platforms for developing different software, one is dual core and overclocked. At home I have a phase-cooled AMD Athlon 64 X2 FX62 running 24/7 at 3.4 GHz. I notice no difference in multi-tasking or running any real-world applications; even then I only notice a difference in FPS.

you (or anyone) can laugh all you want because it made it fast enough to backup all my stuff. i tried before overclocking and it wouldn't do it. it just kept locking up.

with linux, if a program locks up i can go into runlevel 3 and stop its process. if it crashes in windows there is not much you can do except wait for task manager to come up.

---