
Subject: Re: What The Bleep Do We Know!?
Posted by [Scythar](#) on Sun, 03 Sep 2006 08:52:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

NeoSaber wrote on Sun, 03 September 2006 00:52

The film may say we don't know how to observe, but it offers no evidence of that. What it shows is that we lack the ability to measure and test. That's the opposite of observation.

Observation is passive, and does not interfere with what is being observed. It gets controlled by what it observes. Light bounces off a rock and arrives at my eye. I observe the rock but my observation is completely at the mercy of the light and the way it bounced off the rock. The observer has no control over anything. It merely detects what information is sent to it. The observer is the one who receives information, and the observed is the one controlling that information. The video is getting those points mixed up, and leading to claim that consciousness can be a controlling force by observing things. If anything, all they show is that consciousness is helpless because everything else controls it.

I don't see how measuring is the opposite of observing, it's all about the same thing: sensing the information we receive from the object after a force is applied to it, either directly by us or not. Even if measuring means the part of the process where we apply the force and not the Sun for example (through photons), I still fail to see how it's the opposite. It's the same thing in the end. It's not possible to measure/observe/analyze something without a force affecting the target. We can't even prove the existence of something without there being a force that effects it.

Quote:

Hmm... if I'm an observer of the video, and the people on it are the observed, and I was effected by it, yet they don't even know I exist... does that prove my point? Did the very nature of the video prove them all wrong?

I'm not sure what point you mean, you've had a lot of points in this topic. Can you clarify this one?
