Subject: Re: What The Bleep Do We Know!? Posted by NeoSaber on Sun, 03 Sep 2006 04:52:23 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Blazer wrote on Sat, 02 September 2006 22:44I used to have that book (strangely, someone stole it)

That's a bizarre thing to steal.

Blazer wrote on Sat, 02 September 2006 22:44The film specifically says that we do not know how to be an "inside" observer (observe something without affecting it). You don't have to shoot something at a particle to detect it, but you do have to interact with it in some manner, like allowing it to pass through something that has a measurable effect of its passing, etc.

"Shooting a particle" was a generic example of how even the smallest measurement is interference at a quantum scale.

The film may say we don't know how to observe, but it offers no evidence of that. What it shows is that we lack the ability to measure and test. That's the opposite of observation.

Observation is passive, and does not interfere with what is being observed. It gets controlled by what it observes. Light bounces off a rock and arrives at my eye. I observe the rock but my observation is completely at the mercy of the light and the way it bounced off the rock. The observer has no control over anything. It merely detects what information is sent to it. The observer is the one who receives information, and the observed is the one controlling that information. The video is getting those points mixed up, and leading to claim that consciousness can be a controlling force by observing things. If anything, all they show is that consciousness is helpless because everything else controls it.

Blazer wrote on Sat, 02 September 2006 22:44Whether you agree with the concepts in the film or not, you have to admit that it at least made you think about things, which was my only goal in exposing people to it.

I suppose ...

Hmm... if I'm an observer of the video, and the people on it are the observed, and I was effected by it, yet they don't even know I exist... does that prove my point? Did the very nature of the video prove them all wrong?